PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:	RONALD R. DEMSHER
	MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
	28 STATE STREET
ı	BOSTON, MA 02109

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of Mailing (day/month/year)

n 8 AUG 2001

Applicant's or agent's file reference

56300-039

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. International filing date (day, month/year) PCT/US00/29721 27 OCTOBER 2000

Priority Date (day/month/year) 28 OCTOBER 1999

Applicant

WINCHESTER DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES

- The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Authorized officer

Mecaling

Telephone No. 703 308-0956

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992) *

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR FURTHER ACTION

Applicant's or agent's file reference

56300-039

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)

International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date		Priority date (day/month/year)							
PCT/US00/29721	27 OCTOBER 2000		28 OCTOBER 1999							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61B 19/00; G01C 23/00 and US C1: 702/150										
Applicant WINCHESTER DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATES										
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2. This REPORT consists of a t	total of sheets.									
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority. (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of 20ths Administrative Instructions under the PCT).										
These annexes consist of a total ofsheets.										
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:										
I X Basis of the report										
II Priority										
l 📙 '										
III Non-establishmen	t of report with regard	i to novelty, invent	ve step or industrial applicability							
IV Lack of unity of i	nvention									
	V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
VI Certain documents of										
VII Certain defects in th	ne international applica	tion								
VIII Certain observations on the international application										
_										
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	or and report							
25 MAY 2000		01 AUGUST 20	01							
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/L		Authorized officer	^							
Commissioner of Patents and Tradema Box PCT	arks	P. J. ASSOUAL	Mecaluso							
Washington, D.C. 20231		1 ()/								
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Telephone No. 76	3 308-0956							
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July	1998) *									

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the report

International application No.

PCT/US00/29721

1. With	regard to the elen	nents of the interna	ational application	n:*					
X	-	al application as							
吕	the description	:							
X	pages	1-53				, as originally filed			
	pages	NONE				, filed with the demand			
	pages	NONE		, filed with the le		,			
\mathbf{x}	the claims:								
	pages	54-68				, as originally filed			
	pages	NONE		_, as amended (to		tement) under Article 19			
	pages	NONE				, filed with the demand			
	pages	NONE	, filed wi	th the letter of	- -				
_	a 1								
Х	the drawings:	1-21							
	pages	NONE	-			, as originally filed , filed with the demand			
	pages	NONE		, filed with the lett		, nied with the demand			
	pages			, med with the let	er or				
Y	the sequence lis	sting part of the d	lescription:						
	pages	NONE	essipuon.			, as originally filed			
	pages	NONE				, filed with the demand			
	pages	NONE		, filed with the lett		, mor man are demand			
	the language of	f a translation fu	rnished for th	onty in the following e purposes of interr al application (und	ational search (un	which is: der Rule 23.1(b)).			
=				••	, ,,				
	the language of t or 55.3).	he translation furn	nished for the p	urposes of internation	al preliminary exami	nation (under Rules 55.2 and/			
				equence disclosed in sis of the sequence		pplication, the international			
	contained in the	e international aj	pplication in p	orinted form.					
\Box	filed together w	vith the internation	onal applicati	on in computer read	lable form.				
Ħ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
Ħ	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
	The statement the	nat the subsequent	tly furnished v	vritten sequence listi shed.	ng does not go bey	and the disclosure in the			
	The statement the	at the information	recorded in co	mputer readable form	is identical to the w	riten sequence listing has			
4. X	The amendmen	its have resulted	in the cancel	ation of:					
	X the descri	iption, pages	NONE						
		s, Nos.							
		ngs, sheets/ fig							
	_			 -					
5.				endments had not bee Supplemental Box (R		ave been considered to go			
* Repla	cement sheets whi	ich have been furnis	shed to the recei	ving Office in response	to an invitation under	Article 14 are referred to			
in th	is report as "orig	inally filed" and	are not annexed	to this report since	they do not contain	amendments (Rules 70.16			

**Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

International application No.

Claims NONE	
Novelty (N) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and the second signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object.	y;
Claims NONE Inventive Step (IS) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal value and the second signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	
Inventive Step (IS) Claims Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and the second signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	YES
Claims NONE Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims 1-51 Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	10
Industrial Applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and the second signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	YES
Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and the second signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	10
Claims NONE 2. citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and the second signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	
citations and explanations (Rule 70.7) Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	ZES VO
Claim 1-51 the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the claimed combination of apparatus elements or method steps, particularly the claimed signal processor configured to receive the signal value and to determine the influences of the interfering object on the field sensor, to thereby permit a substantially precise location of the field sensor to be determined despite the presence of the interfering object. NEW CITATIONS	